Macrolides: Are They Safe Bet in Management of Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) in Elderly Patients Admitted to an Intensive Care Unit (ICU)
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Abstract
ICNARC data from UK ICU has demonstrated 125% increase in patients requiring ICU admission for advance ventilator support following a severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP). They constitute one of critically ill patient’s cohorts in ICU. Cardiovascular (CVS) morbidity and mortality associated with CAP has been well-known for several decades. Macrolides form integral part of antibiotic bundle worldwide in management of severe CAP in the ICU setting. We attempt to suggest strategy to mitigate CVS morbidity and mitigate this risk.
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Introduction
Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) is a common and potentially serious illness [1-5]. An acute severe infection of lung parenchyma ultimately leads to grey hepatisation which impairs gas exchange across alveolar membrane. CAP is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality, particularly in elderly patients and those with significant comorbidities.

Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) and British thoracic society CURB65 criteria were less predictive at outcomes such as requirement for ICU admission and need for invasive ventilation. They are of limited use in the critical care environment [6,7].

Epidemiology of CAP
Daniel et al., recently reported patients with CAP were younger (78 vs. 80 years), significant respiratory symptoms including fever, cough, dyspnoea and pleuritic chest pain as compared to those patients with those patients coded as pneumonia on discharge. They had fewer constitutional symptoms and significantly higher mortality (17% vs. 14.3%, p=0.003) [8]. However, Sub-Saharan Africa has significantly higher mortality in relatively young patient due to Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) prevalence in the region; and coinfection with tuberculosis and opportunistic infections is common [9]. Feldman and Anderson reported significant cardiac complications in severe pneumococcal pneumonia despite herd immunity following pneumococcal conjugated vaccine [10]. Interestingly, in developed and developing countries, diabetes mellitus prevalence was significantly increased with CAP hospital admissions and was associated with longer hospital stay and increased mortality [11]. In temperate and subtropical climates; Murdoch et al, demonstrated an association between seasonal variation and the occurrence of CAP [12].

At present, overall about 22-42% of CAP patients require hospital admission [13-15], of whom 5-10% will be admitted to an ICU [16-18]. Hospital and ICU admission rates for CAP are increasing for all ages [13].

In a Finnish study, the incidence of CAP rose dramatically with age, with a six-fold increase in incidence between ages 30-44 years and ≥75 years [16]. In Portugal, case fatality rates were 4.5% for patients aged 18-50 years, 19.4% for those aged ≥50 years and 24.8% for those aged ≥75 years [19]. A UK study reported case-fatality rates of 5.6% in those aged <65 years and 47.2% for those aged ≥85 years. This study also found a 12-fold higher OR for death within 30 days of hospital admission for adults aged ≥85 years than for those aged <65 years [20]. With the projected
increase of those aged ≥65 years to 20% of the adult population in developed regions of the world by 2025 [21], the burden of CAP will be felt even more acutely in the years to come.

Pathogens

The most commonly identified pathogens are *Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae*, atypical bacteria (i.e., *Chlamydia pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Legionella* species) and viruses [22,23]. Prognosis is excellent for relatively young or healthy patients. But CAP especially when caused by *S. pneumoniae, Legionella, Staphylococcus aureus*, or influenza virus, are serious or even fatal in elderly patients.

**Cardiovascular Stress and Morbidity in Elderly CAP Patients**

The physiological changes and cardiopulmonary interactions in mechanical ventilated patients are significantly different with respect to normal breathing conditions. Lung-protective ventilation, using lower tidal volumes, combining lower airway pressures with the open lung concept, aims to minimise barotrauma and atelectatic lung injury by Mechanical Ventilation (MV). Effects of MV on Right Ventricular (RV) loading have declined by lung-protective ventilation but remain unpredictable. Left Ventricle (LV) has a knock-on effect as both ventricles pump in series. In addition, both lung and chest wall compliance significantly affect the amount of positive airway pressure transmitted to the intra-thoracic cavity during MV, with huge inter-patient and intra-patient variability. Declining lung compliance often demands increments in applied airway pressures, thus likely resulting in increase in RV afterload. Contrary to this, impaired chest wall compliance has the potential to increase intra-thoracic pressures at unchanged tidal volumes likely decreasing RV preload. Furthermore, impaired baseline cardiac function or fluid status can aggravate the haemodynamic effects of mechanical ventilation. This can all lead to a vicious circle of hypotension and hypoxia with a decrease in coronary perfusion pressure and subsequent myocardial ischaemia, resulting in a further reduction in cardiac output [24].

Incidence for overall cardiac complications, incident heart failure, acute coronary syndromes and cardiac arrhythmias were reported by Corrales-Medina et al. to be 17.7%, 14.1%, 5.3%, and 4.7% respectively [25]. However, Diaz et al. reported heart failure in almost quarter of these patients, and arrhythmias (15%) in cases of severe CAP admitted to ICU [26].

Corrales-Medina et al., reported hospitalisation for pneumonia was associated with increased short-term and long-term risk of CVD and development of acute coronary syndromes [25,27,28].

Moreover, hypoxemia associated with CAP can raise pulmonary arterial pressure and right ventricular afterload while impairing myocardial oxygen delivery [18].

Tachycardia, common in acute infections in the elderly, not only increases myocardial oxygen demand but also shortens the diastolic period in which coronary perfusion occurs [25,29,30]. The net result of these effects is a shift in the metabolic supply/demand ratio of the myocardium and further impairment of its function in patients with pre-existing cardiac disease. In addition, Mavrogeni and Manoussakis have demonstrated using cardiac magnetic resonance imaging that heart failure in CAP can result from myocardial inflammation (myocarditis) [30].

Surges of biomechanical stress, as a result of increased sympathetic activity and other hemodynamic changes (i.e., alterations of the circulatory volume and the systemic and coronary vascular tone), can prompt plaque rupture [17]. Acute infections can also promote plaque disruption by increasing intraplaque inflammatory activity [25]. In this setting, Corrales-Medina et al. suggested thrombus formation over a disrupted coronary plaque—a key step in the development of ACS—would be favoured by infection-induced prothrombotic changes in the blood and endothelium [27].

Corrales-Medina et al. reported atrial fibrillation as a most common of the cardiac arrhythmias [25]. Degraff et al. and Morganroth et al. have reported abnormalities in the cardiac conduction system in the setting of acute pneumonia [31,32].

Renaud et al., Charles et al., and Espana et al. have independently described scoring systems to predict ICU admission [33-35]. Higher morbidity and mortality in each of these studies were associated with acidosis, hypotension, hypo and hyperventilation, uraemia, confusion, hypoxaemia and multilobar infiltrates. Sligl et al. [13] noted that higher 1-year mortality amongst patients with high PSI score (modified for age). It could be attributed to poor myocardial perfusion and predisposition to arrhythmias following transient ischemia.

**Antimicrobial Therapy**

Faverio et al. concluded in ICU patients a beta-lactamic plus either a fluoroquinolone or a macrolide should be considered. However, Salimi et al. have demonstrated that macrolides induced reactive oxygen species formation, mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and mitochondrial swelling and finally cytochrome c release in cardiomyocyte mitochondria. These findings suggested that the toxicity of heart mitochondria is a starting point for cardiotoxic effects of macrolides including QT prolongation, torsades de pointes and arrhythmia. Wong et al. reported use of clarithromycin was associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction, arrhythmia, and cardiac mortality short term. Cheng et al. reported administration of macrolide antibiotics is associated with increased risk for sudden cardiac death or ventricular tachyarrhythmia and cardiovascular death but not increased all-cause mortality [36-38].

Chou et al. reported adjusted Odds Ratio (OR) for ventricular arrhythmia were 4.32 (95% confidence intervals (CI), 2.95-6.33) for azithromycin, 3.30 (95% CI, 2.07-5.25) for moxifloxacin, and 1.41 (95% CI, 0.91-2.18) for levofloxacin. For cardiovascular death, the adjusted ORs for azithromycin, moxifloxacin, and
levofloxacin were 2.62 (95% CI, 1.69-4.06), 2.31 (95% CI, 1.39-3.84), and 1.77 (95% CI, 1.22-2.59), respectively [30,38-44]. Pereyre et al. reported macrolide resistance has been spreading for 15 years worldwide, with prevalence now ranging between 0 and 15% in Europe and the USA, approximately 30% in Israel and up to 90-100% in Asia [42]. Justo and Zeltser reported that simple history taking and baseline electrocardiogram could predict “torse de pointes” induced by antibiotics [43].

Doxycycline or Levofloxacin with relative safe profile to macrolides could be considered in the elderly patients and where macrolide resistance is prevalent [32,42].

Judicious use of procalcitonin to assess CAP severity and to shorten duration of antibiotic therapy, is probably best way forwards in limiting multidrug resistant pathogens [42-48].

Regular monitoring of QT interval in this cohort of patient should be integrated into routine ward round check list care bundle. In those with border line corrected QT interval, daily monitoring should be mandatory and drug implicated should be watch out for.

Conclusion
Antimicrobial cardiac safety is of particular concern during the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in elderly patients, due to the presence of comorbid conditions and the use of multiple medications that may individually or synergistically affect cardiac repolarization. As a part of routine ICU ward round check list care bundle, QT interval monitoring should be done. ICU physicians should be vigilant to these cardiac complications of macrolides and minimize duration of exposure to these agents to best possible extent.
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